Appl. No. 10/574,152 Amdt. Dated December 2, 2009 Reply to Office Action of June 2, 2009

## **REMARKS**

Applicants have submitted this Request for Continued Examination so that the Examiner may consider the alternate claims as modified herein. Applicants have modified the claims to highlight the distinctions between the present invention and the prior art.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the prior art rejections set forth by the Examiner under 35 USC sections 102 and 103. Applicants respectfully submit that the prior art references of record, whether considered alone or in combination fail to either teach or suggest the subject matter of the claims as now modified. More specifically, by this amendment, Applicants have modified each of the independent claims to additionally require: circuitry for limiting a current value difference to less than 10% for ejecting elements when no ejection variation is intended.

Applicants submit that neither the Eguchi reference nor any of the remaining references or record provides any teaching or suggestion regarding the incorporation of circuitry for limiting a current value difference when no ejection variation is intended. Applicants submit that it is only Applicants instant disclosure which describes this feature that advantageously eliminates undesired lines from being formed in a printed image as a result of resistance variations in the ink ejecting elements.

In light of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that all claims now stand in condition for allowance.

Appl. No. 10/574,152 Amdt. Dated December 2, 2009 Reply to Office Action of June 2, 2009

In the event that it is deemed necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees due or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-3891.

Respectfully submitted,

Date:

Robert Depke

ROCKEY, DEPKE & LYONS, LEC

Sears Tower, Suite 5450

Chicago, Illinois 60606-6306

Tel: (312) 277-2006 Attorneys for Applicant